IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

PRISCILLA STERLING, RAINE BECKER, SHAWN MILLER, AND JOHN BENNETT, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

THE CITY OF JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI; CHOKWE A. LUMUMBA; TONY YARBER; KISHIA POWELL; ROBERT MILLER; JERRIOT SMASH; SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC.; AND TRILOGY ENGINEERING SERVICES LLC.,

Defendants.

Civil No. 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO JERRIOT SMASH'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS AND/OR QUALIFIED IMMUNITY Plaintiffs Priscilla Sterling, Raine Becker, Shawn Miller, and John Bennett, individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated, ("Plaintiffs") bring claims against the City Defendants (The City of Jackson, Mississippi; Chokwe A. Lumumba; Tony Yarber; Kishia Powell; Robert Miller; Jerriot Smash) for violations of Plaintiffs' rights to bodily integrity and to be free from state created dangers protected by the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution (Counts I and II) as well as negligence claims (Count V).

The parties agreed on a briefing schedule (dkt. 56) for the City Defendants' motions for judgment on the pleadings (and now also for qualified immunity) which was granted by text only order.

This response concerns the motion of Jerriot Smash entitled Motion Judgement on the Pleadings and for Qualified Immunity (dkt. 72).

Plaintiffs, by and through their undersigned counsel and pursuant to L.U. Civ. R. 7 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), hereby submit this Response in Opposition to that and a Memorandum in Support of its position.¹ As outlined in that Memorandum, the motion ignores binding Supreme Court and Fifth Circuit precedent and does not address relevant factual allegations in Plaintiffs' Complaint. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate the accompanying Memorandum of Opposition to the Motion into this response.

memorandum.

¹ Defendants filed similar motions with duplicative arguments, many of which have entire sections that are the same word for word. Rather than ask the Court to review duplicative responsive memorandums, Plaintiffs have consolidated all of their analysis into one unique

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court deny Defendant's motion.

Should this Court grant the motion, Plaintiffs request leave to amend their pleading to cure any defects.

Dated: August 16, 2023 Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s Mark P. Chalos</u>

Mark P. Chalos (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) Kenneth S. Byrd (Application for Admission Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming) Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP 222 2nd Avenue South, Suite 1640 Nashville, TN 37201-2379

Telephone: 615-313-9000 Facsimile: 615-313-9965 mchalos@lchb.com kbyrd@lchb.com

Tiseme G. Zegeye (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) Jacob H. Polin (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) Amelia A. Haselkorn (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: 415-956-1000 Facsimile: 415-956-1008 tzegeye@lchb.com ipolin@lchb.com ahaselkorn@lchb.com

Stuart C. Talley (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) Kershaw Talley Barlow, P.C. 401 Watt Avenue, Suite 1 Sacramento, CA 95864 Telephone: 916-779-7000 stuart@ktblegal.com

Robert L. Gibbs (Bar No. 4816) Gibbs Travis PLLC 210 East Capitol Street, Suite 1801 Jackson, MS 39201 Telephone: 601-487-2640 Fax: 601-366-4295 rgibbs@gibbstravis.com

Larry Moffett (Bar No. 3401) Law Office of Larry D. Moffett PLLC P.O. Box 1418 39 CR 231 Oxford, MS 38655 Telephone: 662-298-4435 larry@larrymoffett.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

I, Amelia A. Haselkorn, declare as follows:

I am employed in the law firm of Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP, whose address is 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor, San Francisco, California 94111-3339. I am readily familiar with the business practices of this office. At the time of transmission, I was at least eighteen years of age and not a party to this action.

On August 16, 2023 I directed that the foregoing document be filed via the U.S. District Court's CM/ECF electronic system and a copy thereof was served upon all counsel of record:

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO JERRIOT SMASH'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS AND/OR QUALIFIED IMMUNITY

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on August 16, 2023.

> s/ Amelia A. Haselkorn Amelia A. Haselkorn